



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/748,621

12/30/2003

Frank L. Neely

VTN 568CIP1

7509

27777

7590

02/09/2009

PHILIP S. JOHNSON

JOHNSON & JOHNSON

ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA

NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003

EXAMINER

WEBB, WALTER E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1612

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/748,621	Applicant(s) NEELY ET AL.	
	Examiner WALTER E. WEBB	Art Unit 1612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WALTER E. WEBB. (3) ____.

(2) Karen A. Harding. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 04 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3-10,12-15,28 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the Obvious-type Double Patenting Rejection. It was explained to the attorney that maintaining this rejection was an error, since the copending Application No. 10/703,770 had been abandoned, and that the rejection will be withdrawn. The attorney stated that she did not have any other issues to discuss about the case, but that she would call the Examiner if she did.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Walter E Webb/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612	/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612
--	---